## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner:

Alvin J. Grant

Art Unit: 3723

In re:

Applicant:

KRAENZLER, E., et al

Serial No.:

10/564,667

Filed:

01/13/2006

## LETTER

February 4, 2008

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In this application an Office Action was received, dated October 31, 2007.

The Office Action was discussed with the Examiner.

In the Office Action, first part of the Office Action, in

particular pages 1 and 2, does not correspond to second part of the Office

Action on pages 2-7. While in the Office Action summary claims 1-16 are

rejected, which correspond to the pending claims in the application, on

pages 2-7 the rejection was made with respect to claims 1-25 including

non-existing claims 17-25. Also the references applied on pages 2-7,

namely the patent to Scott, Sotozaki, and Woolf, have nothing to do with the present invention.

It is therefore respectfully requested to issue a new Office

Action with a new term for response.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael f. Striker

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 27233

-2-